MULTILAYERED SPACE-TIME BLOCK CODES FOR OFDM SYSTEMS

Ahmed S. Ibrahim, Mohamed M. Khairy, and A. F. Hussein Electronics & Communications Department, Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University, Giza, Egypt.

Abstract—In this paper, we propose a combination of the vertical layered Space-Time architecture (V-BLAST) and the Space-Time Block Codes (STBC) producing VBLAST-STBC, which shows a performance advantage over V-BAST in flat fading channels. We also propose VBLAST-STBC with Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing (OFDM) producing VBLAST-STBC-OFDM, which shows a performance advantage over VBLAST-OFDM in frequency selective fading channels. These two schemes are intended to provide reliable as well as very high data rate communication over Rayleigh fading channels. The simulations show the advantages of the proposed schemes over the original V-BLAST and V-BLAST-OFDM schemes.

I. INTRODUCTION

Recently, Space-Time coding has gained much interest due to its capability of achieving better performance using transmit diversity. Transmit diversity can achieve diversity gain by transmitting from multiple spatially separated antennas. A number of space-time coding techniques have been proposed for transmit diversity [1]-[3]. First, Tarokh et al., introduced Space-Time Trellis Codes (STTC) [1], which is a joint design of coding, modulation, transmit and receive diversity to provide high performance. When the number of transmit antennas is fixed, the decoding complexity of STTC increases exponentially with the transmission rate. For lower decoding complexity, Alamouti [2] discovered a remarkably simple scheme for transmission using 2 transmit antennas with N receive antennas achieving diversity order of 2N, and providing a reliable transmission over flat fading channels. Furthermore, Tarokh et al., generalized this new scheme to an arbitrary number of transmitter antennas M leading to the concept of Space-Time Block Codes (STBC) [3] and achieving diversity order of MN.

While all the aforementioned kinds of Space-Time codes tend to achieving better performance without increasing the transmission rate, Foschini has proposed a Layered Space-Time architecture to achieve high bit rate. V-BLAST, (*Vertical Bell Laboratories Layered Space-Time*) [4], is a wireless architecture capable of realizing very high bit rates using multiple transmit antennas. The user's bit stream is mapped to a vector of independently modulated signal components that are simultaneously transmitted. An essential feature of V-BLAST is that no

explicit orthogonalization of the transmitted signals is imposed by the transmit structure at all. Instead, the propagation Multi-input Multi-output (MIMO) channel itself is exploited to achieve the required signal decorrelation. One way to perform detection for this system is by using linear nulling and symbol cancellation. In the linear nulling technique, each substream in turn is considered to be the desired signal and the remaining substreams are considered as the interferers. Using symbol cancellation, interference from already-detected components of the transmitted vector is subtracted from the received signal vector. This will result in a modified received vector that effectively has fewer interferers.

The Multilayered Space-Time architecture [5] is a combination of VBLAST and STTC providing reliable and very high data rate communication over narrowband wireless channels. It partitions antennas at the transmitter into small groups, and uses individual space-time codes to transmit information from each group of antennas. At the receiver, each individual space-time code is decoded by a linear processing technique that suppresses signals transmitted by other groups of antennas by treating them as interference.

In this paper, we propose a novel method for combining V-BLAST with STBC producing VBLAST-STBC. VBLAST-STBC achieves high bit rate and good performance with low decoding complexity. Its performance is close to that of the VBLAST-STTC scheme presented in [5] with a much lower decoding complexity. This scheme is better used in flat fading channels.

For frequency selective channels, Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing (OFDM) [6], is usually used. OFDM transforms the frequency selective fading channel into multiple flat fading subchannels. MIMO-OFDM scheme employs multiple transmit and receive antennas in an OFDM communication system. MIMO-OFDM was first presented in [7], which applied the STTC [1] in frequency selective fading channels through an OFDM scheme. A simple Space-Time block coded OFDM (STBC-OFDM) transmitter diversity technique for wireless communications over frequency selective fading channels was presented in [8]. STBC-OFDM applies the conventional Alamouti scheme [2] on OFDM blocks instead of individual symbols.

In this paper, we also propose a VBLAST-STBC-OFDM scheme to be used in frequency selective channels. This scheme offers high bit rates in addition to the diversity gain, the robustness against frequency selective channels, and low decoding complexity.



Block Diagram of VBLAST -STBC-OFDM

The outline of this paper is as follows. In Section II, we describe the system overview of the VBLAST-STBC-OFDM including the frequency selective fading channel model. In Section III, we describe the mathematical analysis for the complete system. In Section IV, we show the simulation results for both flat and frequency selective fading channels. Finally, section V concludes the paper.

II. SYSTEM OVERVIEW

As for the channel model, we will consider the general case of frequency selective fading channels, and consider the flat fading channel as a special case. We assume that the MIMO frequency selective Rayleigh fading channel is invariant during each OFDM block, but is allowed to vary from block to block.

Let $\mathbf{a}_{i,j}^n = \left(\mathbf{a}_{i,j}^n[0] \ \mathbf{a}_{i,j}^n[1] \ \cdots \ \mathbf{a}_{i,j}^n[L]\right)$ be the baseband equivalent FIR channel between the i^{th} transmit antenna and the j^{th} receive antenna during the n^{th} block, $1 \le i \le M$, $1 \le j \le N$, and *L* is the maximum channel order of all *MN* channels. $\mathbf{a}_{i,j}^n[l]$'s are independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.), zero-mean, complex Gaussian with variance 1/(2L+2).

The frequency response of $a_{i,j}^n$ on the kth subcarrier

is
$$h_{i,j}^n(k) = \sum_{l=0}^{L} a_{i,j}^n[l] e^{-j2p k l/K}$$
, $1 \le k \le K$

Let $H^{n}(k)$ be the $N \times M$ matrix having $h_{i,j}^{n}(k)$ as its $(i,j)^{th}$ entry.

We will take the VBLAST-STBC-OFDM only into consideration; VBLAST-STBC is only a special case. A high-level block diagram of a VBLAST-STBC-OFDM system is shown in Figure 1. M is the number of transmit antennas, N is the number of receive antennas, and K is the number of OFDM subcarriers. The number of transmit antennas is divided into G groups, where the

number of transmit antennas in each group is m_g , $g = 1, 2, \dots, G$, where $m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_G = M$. Each group is then encoded using a STBC-OFDM encoder [8]. We will consider that the number of transmit antennas in each group is two, so that $m_1 = m_2 = \dots = m_G = 2$. STBC-OFDM encoder formats each two OFDM blocks in Alamouti's orthogonal matrix form as

$$\begin{pmatrix} -X_{i+1} * & X_i \\ X_i * & X_{i+1} \end{pmatrix}$$

Where $X_i = (x_i(1)x_i(2) \cdots x_i(K))$ is the OFDM block to be transmitted from antenna *i*. (*) represents the complex conjugate. Then the STBC-OFDM encoder performs the IFFT (Inverse Fast Fourier Transform) and adds a cyclic prefix that exceeds the channel order. Finally, the resulting complex symbols are modulated and fed to its respective transmitter.

At the receiver, the cyclic prefix is first removed from each received vector, and then FFT is applied on each vector. To decode each group, the Null Space Matrix method presented in [5] is used to suppress the interference from the other groups. We use this method to cancel the interference on each subcarrier. For each group, we use the STBC-OFDM decoder [8] to decode the OFDM blocks of this group. Detected symbols are subtracted from the received vectors to reduce the effect of interference on the undetected groups.

III. MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS

Considering VBLAST-STBC-OFDM, let the transmitted OFDM codeword be

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} x_1(1) & x_1(2) & \dots & x_1(K) \\ x_2(1) & x_2(2) & \dots & x_2(K) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_M(1) & x_M(2) & \dots & x_M(K) \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} X(1) & X(2) & \dots & X(K) \end{pmatrix}$$

(1)

Where $X(k) = (x_1(k) \quad x_2(k) \quad \cdots \quad x_M(k))^T$ and $x_1(k)$ is the transmitted sumbal from the

and $x_i(k)$ is the transmitted symbol from the transmit antenna $i = 1, 2, \dots, M$, on subcarrier $k = 1, 2, \dots, K$. Also, let the channel matrix on certain subcarrier $k = 1, 2, \dots, K$ be

$$H(k) = \begin{pmatrix} h_{1,1}(k) & h_{2,1}(k) & \dots & h_{M,1}(k) \\ h_{1,2}(k) & h_{2,2}(k) & \dots & h_{M,2}(k) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ h_{1,N}(k) & h_{2,N}(k) & \dots & h_{M,N}(k) \\ \end{array}$$
(2)

At the receiver, and after removing the cyclic prefix and applying DFT on the received vectors, the received matrix is

$$Y = \begin{pmatrix} y_1(1) & y_1(2) & \dots & y_1(K) \\ y_2(1) & y_2(2) & \dots & y_2(K) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ y_N(1) & y_N(2) & \dots & y_N(K) \end{pmatrix}$$
(3)
= $(Y(1) Y(2) & \dots & Y(K))$

Where $Y(k) = (y_1(k) \ y_2(k) \ \cdots \ y_N(k))^T$

and $y_j(k)$ is the received symbol on receive antenna $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$, on subcarrier $k = 1, 2, \dots, K$ such that,

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_{1}(k) \\ y_{2}(k) \\ \vdots \\ y_{N}(k) \end{pmatrix} = H(k) \begin{pmatrix} x_{1}(k) \\ x_{2}(k) \\ \vdots \\ x_{M}(k) \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} w_{1}(k) \\ w_{2}(k) \\ \vdots \\ w_{N}(k) \end{pmatrix}$$
(4)

where $w_j(k)$'s, $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$, are independent complex Gaussian random variable with zero-mean and variance N_0 .

Or in vector form,

$$Y(k) = H(k).X(k) + W(k)$$
(5)

To describe the group interference suppression method [5], let the desired group be the first group; with m_1 transmit antennas, and all the other groups are interferers.

Assuming that $N \ge M - m_1 + 1$, let

$$G_{m_{i}}(k) = \begin{pmatrix} h_{m_{i}+1,1}(k) & h_{m_{i}+2,1}(k) & \dots & h_{M,1}(k) \\ h_{m_{i}+1,2}(k) & h_{m_{i}+2,2}(k) & \dots & h_{M,2}(k) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ h_{m_{i}+1,N}(k) & h_{m_{i}+2,N}(k) & \dots & h_{M,N}(k) \end{pmatrix}$$
(6)

Where the matrix $G_{m_1}(k)$ is $N \times (M - m_1)$, and rank $[G_{m_1}(k)] \le M - m_1$.

The null space matrix for $G_{m1}(k)$ is $N_{m1}(k)$ where $N_m(k)$ is $(N-M+m_1) \times N$, such that

$$N_{m_1}(k).G_{m_1}(k) = 0 (7)$$

Multiplying $N_{m_{1}}(k)$ by (5), we get

$$N_{m}(k)Y(k) = N_{m}(k)H(k)X(k) + N_{m}(k)W(k)$$
(8)

Let

$$H_{m1}(k) = \begin{pmatrix} h_{1,1}(k) & h_{2,1}(k) & \dots & h_{m_{1},1}(k) \\ h_{1,2}(k) & h_{2,2}(k) & \dots & h_{m_{1},2}(k) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ h_{1,N}(k) & h_{2,N}(k) & \dots & h_{m_{1},N}(k) \end{pmatrix} (9)$$

We can write,

$$H(k) = \left(H_{m_1}(k) \ \vdots \ G_{m_1}(k)\right)$$
(10)

Multiplying $N_{m_i}(k)$ by (10), we get

$$N_{m_{1}}(k)H(k) = \begin{pmatrix} N_{m_{1}}(k)H_{m_{1}}(k) \vdots N_{m_{1}}(k) & G_{m_{1}}(k) \end{pmatrix}$$
(11)
= $\begin{pmatrix} N_{m_{1}}(k)H_{m_{1}}(k) & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

Let

$$X(k) = \begin{pmatrix} X_{m1}(k) \\ \dots \\ X_{M-m1}(k) \end{pmatrix}$$
(12)

Where $X_{m_1}(k)$ is $m_1 \times 1$, which is the symbols of the

first group. So,

$$N_{m_{1}}(k).Y(k) = N_{m_{1}}(k).H_{m_{1}}(k).X_{m_{1}}(k) + N_{m_{1}}(k).W(k)$$
(13)

Or in another form,

$$\tilde{Y}(k) = \tilde{H}(k) \cdot X_{m_1}(k) + \tilde{W}(k)$$
(14)

where $\tilde{Y}(k) = N_{m_1}(k)Y(k)$, $\tilde{H}(k) = N_{m_1}(k)H(k)$

 $\widetilde{Y}(k)$ and $\widetilde{W}(k)$ are $(N-M+m_1)\times 1$ vectors, and $\widetilde{H}(k)$ is

 $(N-M+m_1) \times m_1$ matrix.

Now we have suppressed the interference from the other groups, and have reached a simple equation that relates the output to the input of the first group only. We will use STBC [2], [3] to decode the symbols of the first group. After decoding them, we subtract their effect from the received vectors which will be used for decoding the next group, and so on.

For STBC, The transmitted OFDM symbols at time slots n and n+1 are

Where $X_1 = (x_1(1) \ x_1(2) \ \cdots \ x_1(K)).$

$$X^{n} = \begin{pmatrix} X_{1} \\ X_{2} \\ \vdots \\ X_{M-1} \\ X_{M} \end{pmatrix} \qquad X^{n+1} = \begin{pmatrix} -X_{2}^{*} \\ X_{1}^{*} \\ \vdots \\ -X_{M}^{*} \\ X_{M-1}^{*} \end{pmatrix}$$

Assuming that $\tilde{H}^n(k)$ is constant for two consecutive time slots. So, the received vectors at time slots *n* and n+1 are

$$\widetilde{Y}^{n}(k) = \widetilde{H}^{n}(k) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x_{1}(k) \\ x_{2}(k) \end{pmatrix} + \widetilde{W}^{n}(k)$$
(15)

$$\widetilde{Y}^{n+1}(k) = \widetilde{H}^{n}(k) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} -x_{2}(k) * \\ x_{1}(k) * \end{pmatrix} + \widetilde{W}^{n+1}(k)$$
(16)

Using the linear processing [2] at the receiver, we can decode $x_1(k)$ and $x_2(k)$, for $k = 1, 2, \dots, K$.

IV. SIMULATION RESULTS

For the simulations of VBLAST-STBC and VBLAST-STBC-OFDM, we choose the number of transmit antennas and the number of receive antennas to be 4. Also, we have two groups with equal number of transmit antennas in each group. So, M = N = 4 and $m_1 = m_2 = 2$. The modulation type is QPSK which gives a total bit rate of

$$\frac{4 (xmtrs) \times 2 (bits / symbol / xmtr)}{2(time slots)} = 4 bps / Hz$$

In order to evaluate these two schemes; First, we compare each one of them with the original VBLAST and VBLAST-OFDM, respectively, when the number of transmit antennas and receive antennas are fixed at 4, giving a total bit rate of

4(xmtrs)x2(bits / symbol / xmtr) = 8 bps / Hz

Second, we make the same comparison when the total rate is fixed at 4 bits, so the number of transmit and receive antennas, in case of the original VBLAST and VBLAST-OFDM, is only 2, M = N = 2.

Figure 2. shows the performance of VBLAST-STBC over flat Rayleigh fading channel. We observe that, at the Bit Error Rate of 10^{-1} the VBLAST-STBC is better than the original VBLAST with M = N = 4 and M = N = 2 by 5 dB.



Flat fading channel with QPSK modulation.

Figure 3. shows the performance of VBLAST-STBC-OFDM over frequency selective Rayleigh fading channel. In these simulations, we choose the same parameters as in [7]. Jakes model is used for correlated fading channels. We assume a 2-ray model with delay spread 5 μ sec, and that the two paths undergo independent Rayleigh fading and are assumed to have equal average powers. The maximum Doppler frequency is 200 Hz. The available bandwidth is 1MHz and K = 256 carrier tones are used for OFDM modulation which corresponds to a subchannel separation of 3.9 KHz and block duration of 256 μ sec. To each frame, a cyclic prefix of 5 μ sec is added to combat the effect of Intersymbol interference. At the Bit Error Rate of 10^{-2} , the VBLAST-STBC-OFDM is better than the original VBLAST-OFDM with M = N = 4by more than 7 dB, better than that with M = N = 2 by 2 dB.



Frequency selective fading channel with QPSK modulation, K=256 subcarrier, and 2-ray Jakes model with delay spread = 5µs.

V. CONCLUSION

We have proposed two schemes aiming at achieving high data rate with reliable transmission. VBLAST-STBC is used in flat fading channels, and VBLAST-STBC-OFDM is used in frequency selective fading channels. Simulations showed a great improvement in the Bit Error Rate for the mentioned schemes over the original V BLAST architecture, either fixing the number of transmit and receive antennas or fixing the bit rate.

VI. REFERENCES

- V. Tarokh, N. Seshadri, and A. R. Calderbank, "Space-time codes for high data rate wireless communications: Performance criteria and code construction," IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, vol. 44, pp. 744–765, Mar. 1998.
- [2] S. M. Alamouti, "A simple transmitter diversity scheme for wireless communications," IEEE JSAC, vol. 16, no. 8, pp. 1451-1458, Oct. 1998.
- [3] V. Tarokh, H. Jafarkhani, and A. R. Calderbank, "Space-time block codes from orthogonal designs," IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, vol. 45, pp. 1456–1467, July 1999.
- [4] P. W. Wolnainsky, G. J. Foschini, G. D. Golden, and R. A. Valenzuela, "V-BLAST: An architecture for achieving very high data rates over the rich-scattering wireless channel," in Proc. ISSSE-98, Pisa, Italy.
- [5] V. Tarokh, A. Naguib, N. Seshadri, and A. R. Calderbank, "Combined array processing and STC," IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, vol. 45, no. 45, pp. 1121–1128, May 1999.
- [6] L. J. Cimini, Jr., "Analysis and simulation of a digital mobile channel using OFDM," IEEE Trans. Comm., vol. COM-33, no. 7, pp. 665–675, July 1985.
- [7] D. Agrawal, V. Tarokh, A. Naguib, and N. Seshadri, "Spacetime coded OFDM for high data-rate wireless communication over wideband channels," in Proc. VTC, Ottawa, ON, Canada, May 18–21,, 1998, pp. 2232–2236.
- [8] K. F. Lee and D. B. Williams, "A space-time coded transmitter diversity technique for frequency selective fading channels," in Proc. IEEE Sensor Array and Multichannel Signal Processing Workshop, Cambridge, MA, March 2000, pp. 149-152.